# Annex V: Evaluation of Council Draft by Assessors

*Note: The use of this form by Assessors appointed under paragraph* [*16*](#_Appointment_of_the) *of the Project Guidelines is optional, but the Assessors’ report should, at least, cover the issues addressed in this form. Assessors will ordinarily be expected to explain their Report at a Council meeting. The report will be submitted to the Executive Committee and the Council and may be forwarded in anonymised form, eg after deleting the information in the box below, to the Project Reporters. If you would like the report to be treated as strictly confidential please contact the ELI Secretariat for options.*

ELI project: ………………………………………………………………………… Council Draft dated ……………………………….

Name of Assessor: ……………………………………………………………………… Appointed (MM/YY): ……………………

Council Draft submitted to me on ……………………………… by …………….……………………………………………………

I herewith confirm that I have read the Council Draft in its entirety and thoroughly considered all its content and results.

I herewith confirm that the information provided by me in this report is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.

……………………… , ……………………………. ……………………………………………………………………………………….

 (Place) (Date) (Signature)

**I. Involvement of the ELI Council in General and of the Assessor**

Since the project was adopted as an ELI project, the ELI Council has been involved as follows:
*(Please provide details as to the dates, form and results of involvement, eg ‘submission of written progress report/full draft comprising … on … and resulting in ….’. Try to be as specific as possible.)*

In my capacity as Assessor, I have taken the following steps to monitor and/or support the progress of the project: *(Please provide details as to the dates, form and results of your activities, eg ‘oral discussion with the Reporters on …. concerning …. and resulting in ….’. Try to be as specific as possible.)*

 I feel that the Reporters cooperated with me/the Council in an appropriate manner.
 I feel that the Reporters could have cooperated better/differently: (*Please explain*)

**II. Evaluation of Council Draft**

Comparing the Council Draft with existing flagship ELI work, as well as with the work of leading institutions and organisations worldwide, I would rate the Council Draft as follows:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Top 5 % | Top 20 % | Top 50% | below average |
| Originality and quality of project design |  |  |  |  |
| Persuasiveness of project results |  |  |  |  |
| Innovative strength/originality of project results |  |  |  |  |
| Compliance with art 3 of the ELI Statute |  |  |  |  |
| Added value for Europe and globally |  |  |  |  |
| Technical quality/quality of execution  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellence of individuals/organisations involved |  |  |  |  |

Remarks:

In my capacity as Assessor, I give the following recommendation to the relevant ELI bodies:
*(Please be as specific as possible. If necessary attach a separate document.)*

 I recommend that the relevant ELI bodies approve the outcome as submitted.

 I recommend that the relevant ELI bodies approve the outcome, but would like to submit the following recommendations/suggest that the ELI bodies consider the following questions:

 I do not recommend that the relevant ELI bodies approve the outcome as final yet, but suggest that it should be remitted to the Reporters with the following requests/that the ELI bodies address the following questions:

 I recommend that the relevant ELI bodies reconsider the whole project or its design, for the following reasons: